



September 24, 2015

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO: E-ORI@DOL.GOV; E-OED@DOL.GOV

Office of Regulations and Interpretations
Office of Exemption Determinations
Employee Benefits Security Administration
(Attention: D-11712)
U.S. Department of Labor
122 C Street, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20001

Re: Regulatory Definition of the Term "Fiduciary" (RIN 1210-AB32); Proposed Best Interest Contract Exemption (ZRIN: 1210-ZA25)

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on August 13, 2015 on behalf of Franklin Square Holdings, L.P. ("Franklin Square") concerning the proposed regulation regarding conflicts of interest in retirement investment advice (the "Proposed Regulation") and the above-referenced Proposed Best Interest Contract Exemption (the "Proposed Exemption"). As discussed in my testimony and in our written comment, Franklin Square shares the Department of Labor's (the "Department") goal of protecting plans, participants, beneficiaries, and IRA owners and applauds the care and thoughtfulness that went into the development of the Proposed Regulation and Proposed Exemption. In support of this goal and the Department's efforts, we requested that the Department expand the definition of "Asset" covered by the Proposed Exemption to include non-traded business development companies ("BDCs") with narrowly tailored language that would otherwise leave the Proposed Exemption intact.

I offer this letter as a follow up to questions that were asked at the hearing. Those questions related to the kinds of investors who invest in non-traded BDCs, protections presently enjoyed by investors in non-traded BDCs, and additional protections that could be afforded to investors in non-traded BDCs. In response to those questions, this letter provides the following:

1. A summary of our request, which is simply to replace the phrase "registered investment companies" with the phrase "investment companies regulated pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940" in the Proposed Exemption's definition of "Asset." This change would add non-traded BDCs to the list of "Assets" covered by the Proposed Exemption but would not include investment products that are not regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), such as non-traded real estate investment trusts ("REITs"), master limited partnerships ("MLPs"), public and private oil and gas funds, and equipment leasing funds.

- 2. An overview of the regulatory regime applicable to non-traded BDCs, focusing on the protections that would be afforded to investors in non-traded BDCs by the Proposed Exemption and the 1940 Act if the Department adopts our request.
- 3. An explanation of additional protections that Franklin Square provides the investors in its non-traded BDCs that could be added to the Proposed Exemption to ensure investors have access only to those non-traded BDCs that offer best-in-class protections.

I. Summary of Franklin Square's Request

As explained more fully in my testimony and in our written comment, we have proposed replacing the phrase "registered investment companies" with the phrase "investment companies regulated pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940" in Section VIII(c) of the Proposed Exemption as follows:

(c) An "Asset," for purposes of this exemption, includes only the following investment products: Bank deposits, certificates of deposit (CDs), shares or interests in registered investment companies investment companies regulated pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940, bank collective funds, insurance company separate accounts, exchange-traded REITs, exchange-traded funds, corporate bonds offered pursuant to a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, agency debt securities as defined in FINRA Rule 6710(l) or its successor, U.S. Treasury securities as defined in FINRA Rule 6710(p) or its successor, insurance and annuity contracts, guaranteed investment contracts, and equity securities within the meaning of 17 CFR 230.405 that are exchange-traded securities within the meaning of 17 CFR 242.600. . . .

This change would add non-traded BDCs to the list of "Assets" covered by the Proposed Exemption because non-traded BDCs are regulated under the 1940 Act – like registered investment companies. It would not capture other kinds of investments that sometimes are perceived to be similar to non-traded BDCs but are not regulated under the 1940 Act – such as non-traded REITs, MLPs, public and private oil and gas funds, and equipment leasing funds. The change would not remove from the definition of "Asset" any investment opportunity already included nor impact the Proposed Exemption in any other way.

II. Franklin Square's Proposal Includes Heightened Investor Protections

As discussed in our comment letter and at the hearing, non-traded BDCs are subject to an extensive regulatory regime that protects investors. We respectfully submit that these protections, combined with ERISA's fiduciary rules and the requirements of the Proposed Exemption, would provide ample protection for investors:

1. Franklin Square's Proposal is consistent with the framework of the Proposed Exemption. The premise of the Proposed Exemption is that a recommendation to invest in a non-traded BDC would be subject to ERISA's fiduciary standard. Under the current proposal,

Office of Regulations and Interpretations
Office of Exemption Determinations
September 24, 2015
Page 3

this means that an adviser, relying on the Proposed Exemption, would have to make an informed decision that an investment in a non-traded BDC is in the "best interest" of his or her client, in light of the client's investment objectives, risk tolerance, financial circumstances, and needs.

The fiduciary standard would prohibit an adviser from recommending an investment in a non-traded BDC when it is inappropriate under the circumstances. For example, an adviser may conclude that an investment in a non-traded BDC is advisable for a client with a longer-term investment horizon who is seeking to diversify his or her portfolio with an investment that is less correlated to the public equity markets, or for a client who is retired and wants to protect his or her principal while generating above average current income. By contrast, a non-traded BDC investment might not be advisable for a client who has a short-term investment horizon, has no need for capital protection or current income, or has immediate or significant liquidity needs.

We simply request that the decision regarding whether to recommend an investment in a non-traded BDC be left to the adviser in the exercise of his or her fiduciary judgment and pursuant to the other terms of the Best Interest Contract.

- 2. Existing federal legal protections provide a broad array of safeguards for non-traded BDC investors. Non-traded BDCs currently are subject to an extensive regulatory regime that protects investors by imposing, *inter alia*, robust disclosure and transparency requirements, valuation requirements, and duties of care. As detailed in our written comment letter, non-traded BDCs are regulated by at least as many, if not more, regulators and regulatory regimes as all of the investments already included in the definition of "Asset." The attached chart summarizes these protections afforded by federal and state laws and regulations.
- 3. State legal protections establish minimum eligibility thresholds for investing in non-traded BDCs. Regulations in all 50 states impose preconditions for investing in non-traded BDCs. Although state rules vary, all states maintain at least the following minimum requirements:
 - Investors must have a minimum annual gross income of \$70,000 and a minimum net worth (excluding home, home furnishings and automobiles) of \$70,000; or
 - Investors must have a minimum net worth (excluding home, home furnishings and automobiles) of \$250,000.¹

Additionally, many states routinely increase these minimum eligibility thresholds for investing in non-traded BDCs.

In sum, we have requested only to include non-traded BDCs on the list of investment choices that fiduciary advisers may recommend under the Proposed Exemption. We are not requesting exemptions from the fiduciary standard or any other ERISA requirement. To the

¹ NASAA Omnibus Guideline III.B.

Office of Regulations and Interpretations
Office of Exemption Determinations
September 24, 2015
Page 4

contrary, any recommendation to invest in a non-traded BDC would be subject to ERISA's fiduciary standard – which requires careful analysis of the investor's needs and interests. In light of the existing regulatory regime and ERISA's fiduciary standard, we believe it is appropriate to include non-traded BDCs on the list of "Assets" covered by the Proposed Exemption.

III. Franklin Square's Best Practices Offer Further Investor Protections

In addition to the substantial protections offered under current law, Franklin Square's best practices afford the following heightened investor protections:

1. Enhanced Valuation and Transparency. The 1940 Act requires that a non-traded BDC value 100% of its assets annually, in a process overseen by the non-traded BDC's board of directors, the majority of whom are independent. The industry standard is to value 25% of a fund's assets every quarter – which results in valuing 100% of the assets every year. Franklin Square goes two steps further. First, while not required under the law, each of Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs use independent third-party pricing and valuation services to determine fair value, which is then considered and approved by the non-traded BDCs' independent boards. Second, the independent boards value 100% of the assets in each of Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs every quarter.

Franklin Square BDCs constantly monitor their portfolio holdings to ensure compliance with the 1940 Act prohibition against selling shares at a price below net asset value ("NAV") per share. Franklin Square's third-party pricing and valuation services provide each BDC's board with a daily and weekly estimated NAV per share, and have the ability to conduct an intraquarter valuation in the event of a material change in the value of one of the BDC's investments. The valuation of each investment held in a Franklin Square BDC's portfolio is communicated to investors and the market at least quarterly on a schedule of investments included in mandatory SEC filings.

- 2. No Over-Distribution. Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs make distributions to shareholders only from net investment income, proceeds from the sale of assets, and dividends and other distributions from portfolio companies. Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs do not pay distributions to shareholders from offering proceeds or borrowings. Moreover, Franklin Square has agreed to reimburse its non-traded BDCs for expenses in sufficient amounts to ensure that no portion of any distributions to shareholders are paid from offering proceeds or borrowings. This presents a stark contrast between Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs and most other non-traded investment vehicles in the marketplace, such as non-traded REITs. Again, this Franklin Square practice is not required by law but is something we do in our effort to offer best-in-class protections to our investors.
- 3. Fair Value Redemptions. Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs offer a measure of liquidity to their shareholders by offering to repurchase a number of shares each calendar year equal to 10% of the weighted average number of shares outstanding in the prior calendar year, at a rate of 2.5% per quarter. For Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs that are offering shares to

Office of Regulations and Interpretations
Office of Exemption Determinations
September 24, 2015
Page 5

new investors, the repurchase price is the price of shares offered to the public, net of any sales commissions or dealer manager fees that were charged to the investor. For Franklin Square's non-traded BDCs that have closed to new investors, the repurchase price is the price at which shares are issued under the funds' distribution reinvestment plans. Importantly, limiting liquidity in some way significantly contributes to a non-traded BDCs' lower correlation to trading markets. This is a key differentiating feature of non-traded BDCs that makes them attractive to diversification-seeking investors.

4. Sponsor Investment. Franklin Square and its affiliates believe in Franklin Square's funds and collectively have invested approximately \$148 million across all of its funds as of August, 2015. This level of sponsor commitment far exceeds the industry standard. For example, non-traded REIT sponsors typically invest only \$200,000 in a new REIT as an initial investment, which amounts to just 0.01% of a \$2 billion offering. Our level of voluntary sponsor investment shows Franklin Square is committed to the long-term success of its funds and, most importantly, aligns its interests with those of its investors.

Franklin Square appreciates the opportunity to provide this letter in response to the Department's questions. Please feel free to contact me at 215-220-4525 with any questions about this submission.

Sincerely,

Michael F. Gerber

Executive Vice President

Franklin Square Holdings, L.P.

MF. The

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Public Filings	BDCs ¹ must register their securities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), which requires extensive disclosures regarding, among other things, the BDC, the securities offered, the BDC's investment objectives and strategies, risk factors and financial condition.	BDCs are required to register a class of securities under the Securities Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and, as such, are required to file periodic and other reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), including proxy statements and Porms 10-Q, 10-K and 8-K.	BDCs are regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") and, as such, are required to file certain additional reports with the SEC, including fidelity bond filings.	Non-traded BDCs must register their securities offerings under the securities acts of each state in which they intend to offer securities for sale. ²	
Valuation and Pricing		The Exchange Act requires that the valuation of a BDC's	The 1940 Act requires BDCs' independent boards		As part of their best practices, each of Franklin Square's
		portfolio holdings be	of directors to	:	BDCs has its

Except as otherwise provided, references to "BDCs" in this chart include both traded and non-traded business development companies.

A majority of states have adopted or modeled their securities acts on either the Uniform Securities Act of 1956, as amended, or the Uniform Securities Act of 2002, as amended. The Uniform Securities Act grants state securities regulators merit review authority, while the federal securities laws grant the SEC only disclosure review authority. Under the SEC's disclosure review regime, the SEC is only empowered to assess the adequacy of an issuer's disclosure. In contrast, under the merit review regime that applies to non-traded BDCs - but not other qualified "Assets" - state securities regulators are empowered to consider not only disclosure, but also the underlying merits of the terms of a securities offering, the rights and obligations of a particular security and even aspects of the issuer's organizational structure. For example, the Uniform Securities Act grants state regulators broad authority to deny, suspend, or revoke a securities offering if it finds that "the offering is being made on terms that are unfair, unjust or inequitable."

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Valuation and Pricing (continued)		publicly disclosed to investors and the market at least quarterly on SEC Forms 10-Q and 10-K, significantly enhancing the transparency of BDCs.	determine the fair value of the BDCs' portfolio holdings at least quarterly and to revise the BDCs net asset value and share price to ensure that shares are not sold at a price below net asset value. In doing so, BDCs' share prices fluctuate to take into account the current market value of their underlying assets.		portfolio valuations conducted by independent third-parties, approved by the valuation committee and then approved by the full independent board. While many BDCs value 25% of their assets each quarter, each of Franklin Square's BDCs values 100% of its assets each quarter. REITs and other direct participation programs ("DPPs") are not required to perform or disclose portfolio valuations at all while offering securities to new investors and for a period of time thereafter.

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Limitations on Distributions			The 1940 Act permits BDC distributions to be paid from offering proceeds or borrowings, so long as investors are informed of the sources of the BDC's distributions. Because the 1940 Act does not apply to REITs, REIT distributions can be paid from investor proceeds or borrowings without notice to investors.		As part of their best practices, Franklin Square's BDCs do not engage in overdistribution and Franklin Square has committed that the funds it sponsors will not pay distributions from offering proceeds or borrowings. REITs routinely over-distribute (i.e., pay distributions to investor from investor proceeds
Limitations on Affiliated Transactions			The 1940 Act contains substantial restrictions on a BDCs ability to engage in transactions with its affiliates, which include its officers, directors and their	The NASAA Omnibus Guidelines provide another layer of restrictions on non-traded BDCs' ability to engage in affiliated transactions.	

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Limitations on Affiliated Transactions (continued)			respective affiliates. These restrictions include prohibitions on co-investment with entities affiliated with the BDC's investment adviser or subadviser, unless price is the only negotiated term. Additional limitations are applicable to investment advisers and sub-advisers to BDCs under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act").	REITs are only limited in their ability to engage in affiliated transactions by the more permissive NASAA REIT Guidelines.	
Investment Diversification			The 1940 Act requires BDCs to invest at least 70% of their total assets in "eligible portfolio companies," which generally means U.S. private operating companies, public non-traded U.S.		In addition to being able to provide investors with a more diversified portfolio, each of Franklin Square's BDCs utilizes a conservative approach to investing. Franklin

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Investment Diversification			operating companies and publicly-traded		Square's BDCs primarily make
(continued)			operating companies		senior secured loans
			with less than \$250		to U.S. middle
			million in market		market companies.
			capitalization.		Senior secured loans
			Within this general		(first and second lien
			requirement, there		loans) sit at the top
			restrictions on the		company's capital
			types of investments		structure and are
		-	BDCs may make,		secured by assets of
			meaning that BDCs		the company. This
			generally may invest		means that in the
			across all industry		event of bankruptcy
			sectors and at all		or liquidation of the
			levels of a portfolio		portfolio company,
			company's capital		Franklin Square's
			structure.		BDCs are repaid
			Additionally, the		before junior
			Internal Revenue		creditors and equity
			Code of 1986, as		holders of the
			amended (the		portfolio company.
			"Code"), requires		Investing primarily
			entities electing to be		in senior secured
			treated as regulated		loans reduces the
			investment		exposure of Franklin
			companies, such as		Square's BDC
			most BDCs, to		investors to credit
			maintain minimum		risk.
			diversification	The second secon	

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Investment Diversification			standards within their portfolios.		
_			On the other hand, the Code requires		
			REITs to hold a		
			minimum of 75% of		
			their assets in		
			"qualifying real		
			estate investments,"		
			such as real property,		
			mortgages secured		
			by real property and		
			real estate related		
			securities. As a		
			result, BDCs are able		
			to assemble highly		
			diversified portfolios		
			while REITs and		
			other DPPs, such as		
			equipment leasing		
			programs, oil & gas		
			drilling programs		
			and master limited		
			partnerships, are not.		

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Independence ³			The 1940 Act		As indicated above,
			requires that at least		as part of their best
			a majority of a		practices, each of
			BDC's board of		Franklin Square's
			directors be		BDCs has its
			comprised of persons		portfolio valuations
			who are independent		conducted by
			(i.e., not "interested		independent third-
			persons," as defined		parties, approved by
			in Section 2(a)(19)	-	the valuation
			of the 1940 Act).		committee and then
			The 1940 Act also		approved by the full
			requires that any		independent board.
			investment adviser,		
			principal underwriter		
			and independent		
			registered public		
			accounting firm of a		
			BDC be selected,		
			and reapproved on		
			an annual basis, by a		
			majority of the		
			independent		
			members of the		
			BDC's board of		
			directors.		

³ BDCs are also subject to the independence requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including requirements that all BDCs have an audit committee comprised exclusively of independent directors, and that the audit committee (1) appoints the BDC's independent registered public accounting firm, (2) reviews and approves the BDC's financial statements and (3) monitors and assesses the BDC's internal control over financial reporting.

Requirement	Securities Act of 1933	Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Investment Company Act of 1940	State "Blue Sky" Laws	Franklin Square Best Practices
Duties of Care			Like external advisers to registered investment companies, external advisers to BDCs must register with the SEC under the	Non-traded BDCs are subject to the NASAA Omnibus Guidelines, which impose a fiduciary duty on non-traded BDC directors,	
			Advisers Act, which imposes a statutory fiduciary duty on BDC advisers to act in the best interest of the BDC and, by extension, its shareholders—including retirement plan investors. REIT Advisers generally are not subject to the Advisers Act.	sponsors and advisers to act in the best interest of the BDC and its shareholders – including retirement plan investors. The NASAA Omnibus Guidelines, among other things, also set caps on certain fees and expenses, prohibit certain conflicts of interest and ensure shareholder voting	
Minimum Eligibility Thresholds				rights. Regulations in all 50 states impose preconditions for investing in nontraded BDCs in the form of minimum	

Franklin Square Best Practices	
State "Blue Sky" Laws	eligibility thresholds. To be eligible to invest in a non-traded BDC, an investor generally must have a minimum annual gross income of \$70,000 and a minimum net worth (excluding home, home furnishings and automobiles) of \$70,000; or a minimum net worth (excluding home, home furnishings and automobiles) of \$70,000. State regulators often increase these minimum thresholds. Many states also limit investor portfolio allocations to non-traded BDCs.
Investment Company Act of 1940	
Securities Exchange Act of 1934	
Securities Act of 1933	
Requirement	Minimum Eligibility Thresholds (continued)